



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRS	T NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/574.6	689 12/1	9/95 APPIN	J	5023/P58317A

15M1/0505

JACOBSON PRICE HOLMAN AND STERN THE JENIFER BUILDING 400 SEVENTH STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20004-2201

MINER
₹
PAPER NUMBER
8

DATE MAILED:

05/05/97

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION						
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
a) is extended to run or conf	inues to run	_ from the date of the final rejection				
b) 💢 expires three months from the date of the final event however, will the statutory period for the		te of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no nonths from the date of the final rejection.				
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR	1.192(a).					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed to place the application in condition for allowance:	1 · 2 9 · 9 7 has been cons	sidered with the following effect, but it is not deemed				
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:						
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 presented.	CFR 1.116(b) why the propose	d amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
 d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. 						
e. They present additional claims without c	ancelling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: The scape of t	to claims to	as been altered				
Via introduction	n of the	phrase "consisting				
essentially of "						
Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if s	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling				
3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered X will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:						
Claims allowed:						
Claims objected to:	23 - 28					
However;						
Applicant's response has overcome the fo	lowing rejection(s):					
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsidera	tion has been considered but d	oes not overcome the rejection because				
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered by presented.	ecause applicant has not shown	good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier				
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ ha	is not been approved by the exa	aminer.				
Mother The new title is accepted.						
•		RAJ BAWA, Ph.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500				